Validation of the RIDA[®]QUICK ADM Monitoring: a rapid test for adalimumab drug concentration monitoring which supports timely dose adjustments in clinical practice

Chris Barthel¹, Sumin Bian², Karin Wagenhäuser¹, Daniela Fichtner¹, Steffen Rameil¹, Thomas Van Stappen^{1,2} ¹R-Biopharm AG, Clinical Diagnostics, Darmstadt, Germany; ²KU Leuven, Department of Pharmaceutical and Pharmaceological Sciences, Leuven, Belgium; contact author: Thomas Van Stappen (*t. vanstappen@r-biopharm.de*)

Introduction

Adalimumab (ADM; Humira[®])

- · Monoclonal antibody against tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNFα)
- Treatment of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis
- Many patients do not respond to adalimumab induction treatment (up to 40%) or lose response over time $(23 - 46 \%)^1$
- Therapeutic drug monitoring of ADM improves treatment efficacy and guides management of patients with loss of response
- Conventional assays for therapeutic drug monitoring (e.g. ELISA):
- · Lack the speed for immediate treatment optimization
- Require multiple samples to be cost-effective

Aims & methods

- To validate a rapid test for the quantitative measurement of ADM drug concentrations (Fig. 1)
- Limit of quantification and precision as per CLSI guideline EP17-A2 and EP05-A3, respectively
- Method comparison was performed with 212 serum samples of patients with IBD (Fig. 2) versus the RIDASCREEN[®] ADM Monitoring (ELISA, R-Biopharm AG)



Figure 1: (A) Adalimumab is determined using a sandwich-based approach with the highly specific monoclonal anti-ADM antibody clone 40D8 as detection antibody.² (B) The ADM concentration is measured quantitatively using a portable and benchtop size reader, the RIDA®QUICK SCAN II.



Figure 2: Serum samples were withdrawn just before the next dose administration at week 0 (n = 30), week 4 (n = 100) or week 12 (n = 82) of standard ADM induction therapy.

Results

- Precision (CLSI guideline EP05-A3)
- Intra-assay precision: CV % 8.5 16.8 %
- Inter-assay precision: CV % 11.8 16.6 %
- Recovery: Mean recoveries (observed versus expected concentration) between 90 % - 103 %
- All 30 week 0 samples were below the limit of quantification in both assays
- An excellent agreement with the RIDASCREEN® ADM Monitoring was observed (Fig. 3): Pearson r and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) were



Figure 3: (A) Scatter plot and (B) Bland-Altman plot showing an excellent correlation and agreement of the RIDA®QUICK ADM Monitoring (LFA) with the RIDASCREEN[®] ADM Monitoring (ELISA) for the quantification of ADM in week 4 samples.

Conclusion

The RIDA®QUICK ADM Monitoring

- allows accurate and precise quantification of adalimumab within the clinically relevant 0.5 25 μg/mL concentration range
- shows excellent agreement with the RIDASCREEN® ADM Monitoring (ELISA), which uses the same highly specific antibody clone to improve assay harmonization

Conflicts of interest:

CB, KW, DF, SR, TVS are employees of R-Biopharm. SB declares no conflicts of interest

References:

- 1. Ben-Horin S, Kopylov U, Chowers Y. Optimizing anti-TNF treatments in inflammatory bowel disease. Autoimmun Rev 2014;13:24-30. 2. Bian S, Van Stappen T, Baert F, Compernolle G, Brouwers E, Tops S, de Vries A, Rispens T, Lammertyn J, Vermeire S, Gils A. Generation and characterization of a unique panel of anti-adalimumab specific antibodies and their application in therapeutic drug monitoring assays. J Pharm Biomed Anal 2016;125:62-67.;
- 3. Verstockt B, Moors G, Bian S, Van Stappen T, Van Assche G, Vermeire S, Gils A, Ferrante M et al. Influence of early adalimumab serum levels on immunogenicity and long-term outcome of anti-TNF naive Crohn's disease patients: the usefulness of rapid testing. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2018;48:731-739

r-biopha



0.95; 0.92 (Pearson r; ICC) and 0.98; 0.97, for patient samples collected at week 4 and week 12, respectively³

Table 1: Intra-assay precision of the RIDA®QUICK ADM Monitoring, as determined using 5 reference quality control samples (20 replicates per sample).

eference quality control	1	2	3	4	5	
verage (µg/mL)	2.3	5.7	8.9	14.3	25.7	
tandard deviation	0.4	0.7	0.8	1.5	3.0	
coefficient of variation (%)	16.8	12.2	8.5	10.4	11.8	

